

Relationship between hospital work environment and nurses' performance in critical setting

Wafaa Taha Abd Elnaby ⁽¹⁾; Safaa M. Abdelrahman ⁽²⁾; Amira Mostafa Fahmy ⁽³⁾

1. B.Sc. Nursing.
2. Professor of Nursing Administration, Faculty of Nursing -Minia University.
3. Assistant Professor of Nursing Administration, Faculty of Nursing –Minia University.

Abstract

Background: The context of health care delivery is rapidly changing. Such, care delivery is affected by the complexity of diseases, and technological development, all of which increased demands for high quality of care worldwide. So the nurses' work environment is getting global interest because there is a growing consensus that identifying opportunities for improving working conditions in hospitals. So **the aim of this research** was to assess the relationship between hospital work environments on nurses' performance in critical setting. **Research design:** A descriptive correlational design was utilized in the current research. **Setting:** The research was conducted at General Minia Hospital. **Sample:** Nurses worked on critical care units (no.95). **Tools of data collection:** Two tools, the first tool was nurses' work environment tool and the second tool was observational nursing performance. **Results:** These findings revealed that the majority of nurses had bad work as well as unsatisfactory performance. **Conclusion:** There were positive correlation between nurse's work environment and their performance ($p=0.002^{**}$) **Recommendations:** Enhance a supportive work environment by the nurse manager as effective way to increase nurses' psychological bonding and enhancing positive work-related outcomes that may, in turn, enhance their performance.

Keywords: Critical Setting, Hospital Work Environment, Nurses' Performance, Relationship.

Introduction

Intensive care settings provide lifesaving care for the critically ill patients, however, it is associated with significant risks for adverse events and serious errors with multiple interactions occurring between health multidisciplinary health care providers, patients, and medical devices with increasingly complex interface (Aziz Mamdouh et al., 2020).

Moreover nurses play a major role in the delivery of critical care in critical care units (CCUs), where the care they provide is more complex and challenging than that provided in other hospital departments (Al-Bsheish et al., 2022). The CCU nurses are frequently subjected to high demands to fulfill the duties assigned to them. Working in a field that involves complex multitasking, high workloads, and providing specialized care to critically ill and reliant patients can be overwhelming for nurses, especially during the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic (Rosa et al., 2020).

Work environment is generally defined as "the physical, chemical, biological, organizational, social, and cultural factors that surround a worker" (Ageel & Shbeer, 2022). Also the nurses' work environment is defined as the characteristics of a practice setting that facilitate or constrain professional nursing practice and has been linked to patient outcomes. Nurses' work environment plays a key role in the quality and quantity of the care that they can provide as well as in workforce retention. The Study shows that the work environment is related to nurses' intent to leave. When nurses perceived better working conditions, the intent to leave the job decreased and their work engagement increased (Hegazy et al., 2021)

According to the American Association of Critical-Care Nurses (AACN), "a healthy work environment (HWE) is imperative to ensure patient safety, enhance staff satisfaction and retention, and maintain an organization's financial viability". The ideal work environment provides conditions for physical, mental, and social well-being (Janíková et al., 2021). The external pressures of diminishing health-care

reimbursement, increasing regulatory requirements, rising acuity and patient complexity, and increased health-care operational restrictions have a negative effect on work environments (Al-Bsheish et al., 2022).

So work environment is the totality of all factors that influence satisfaction, performance, encompasses, intrinsic and extrinsic factors that make a work setting. Work environment is acknowledged as a key predictor of work related outcomes, such as higher quality of care and lower turnover intention (Huang et al., 2021). Moreover health care systems, the quality of services delivered to patients in hospitals and the level of improvement of health sector performance is constantly related to nurses' performance. Additionally, nurses provide up to 80% of the health services provided in most health systems around the world. Thus, the quality of healthcare services depends heavily on their performance (Mohamed et al., 2019).

Nursing performance can be an evaluation indicator of hospitals. Therefore, improving it positively affects the development of nurses, patients, guardians, hospitals, and society (Hegazy et al., 2021). Nursing job performance is defined as providing nursing care to the patient based on the nurses' professionalism and all other related activities and processes. By improving nursing job performance, nurses can cope with changes in the medical environment and the patient's needs according to the times by applying their skills and knowledge. Research to improve nursing job performance has proceeded locally and globally, and grit is attracting attention as an important concept that can successfully enhance nursing job performance (Cho & Kim, 2022).

Moreover, high nurses' performance leads to patients' safety, and low nurses' performance could result in patients' death. Then, improving nurses' performance is absolutely a must to gain improvement in healthcare system performance, enhance and handle patients' needs, reduce health care costs by reducing the length of staying in hospitals (Al Badi et al., 2023).

Performance obstacles may be related to one or more elements of the work system, such as those related to tasks which include, dealing with many professional issues, and performance obstacles related to tools as unavailability of necessary equipment in a timely manner were considered among the categories of performance obstacles that prevent staff nurses from accomplishing their tasks (Ahmed et al., 2018).

Last but not least the hospital systems" strengths, weaknesses and failure are dependent on nurses" performance which has the capability to provide legendary solutions to the dysfunctional systems. Thus, based on what was previously mentioned, health policy makers and hospital managers must take actions towards developing the quality of hospital services and increasing efficiency in them which will guarantee active involvement of nurses and raise their performance (Kuşçu Karatepe & Türkmen, 2023).

Significance of the study

Health care delivery is highly labor-intensive field. The quality, efficiency and equity of services are all dependent on the availability of skillful, competent and motivated health professionals when and where they are needed. There is a growing concern about the poor quality of health services rendered to the population. Also nursing staff is the largest personnel component in the public health sector and are deployed at all levels of the health care delivery system (Mohammed Atta et al., 2019).

There are gaps in researches that associated the nurses work environment with their performance. There is research that assess of nurses performance on the patients safety which applied by Aziz Mamdouh et al., (2020) who summarized that more than fifty percent of the participants had unsatisfactory knowledge as well as performance related to the implementation measures of patient safety. Also the study performed on of the Main Mansoura University Hospital by Mohamed and Gaballah, (2018) to assess relation between hospital climate as well as nurses" performance, and concluded that statistically significant positive association between nurses" perception of work climate as well as job performance.

On the same context the study applied by Olsen et al., (2009) to examine a proposed bullying model that takes into account workplace demands and resources, as well as nurse outcomes expressed in work ability, job performance, and job satisfaction, and discovered that The majority of work climate factors were found to have a direct impact on nurse outcomes, including job performance, job satisfaction, and work ability, as well as on workplace bullying.

From the researchers" long experience in supervising Faculty Nursing students during the training and direct contact with nurses as well as working in the clinical areas, they observed that nurses" tend to complain from many problems related to their work environment such as; work overload, lack of resources, support, cooperation, participation, incentives and flexibility at work weak leadership, role ambiguity lack of respect from others, and exposure to infection, which in turn affects their professional performance. Moreover, no studies to date were done to identify the most important work environment of hospital nurses and its relation to their professional performance in Minia governorate. So, that the researchers were conducted this study

Aim of the Study

The aim of the current study was to assess the relationship between hospitalwork environments on nurses" performance in critical setting.

Research Questions:

Is there relationship between hospital work environment and nurses"performance?

Subjects and Methods

Research Design

A descriptive correlational research design was used to achieve the aim of the current study.

Setting

The study was conducted on General Minia Hospital. It composed from three building; first building consisted of three floors (the administration in the ground floor second and third floor contain different departments as (general medical as well as surgical, delivery rooms DR, operation rooms, burn as well as obstetric ward); second building consisted of three floors (Emergency Department in the ground floor critical care units CCU in the second floor, Intensive Care Unit (ICU) as well as Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) and pediatric department in the third floor); and three building contain dialysis units.

Subjects:

The subjects of study sample included all staff nurses working in critical units in General Minia Hospital during the period of data collection sample size (no.=95), classified as follows .

Department	No. of nurses
NICU	27
CCU	18
Burn	9
Dialysis	33
ICU	8
Total	95

Exclusion Criteria:

Staff nurse had less than six months in nursing work experience and worked on an irregular basis on the hospital.

Data Collection Tools:

Data were collected through the utilization of two tools as follows:

Tool (1): The Nurses Work Environment. It composed of two parts as following

Part one : Personal data sheet

This part developed by the researcher and contained personal data as age, gender, and years of experiences etc

Part two: Nurses Work Environment

This part was developed by Lake, (2002), and modified by Amaral et al., (2012), to assess nurses work environment. It consisted of (31) items. Responses of each item ranged from (agree to disagree) with (3: 1) respectively. So the scoring system was ranged between 31 to 93 as following :

- Bad work environment ranged from 31:51
- Fair work environment ranged from 52:72
- Good work environment ranged from 73:93

Tool (2): Observational Nursing Performance

This tool was developed by Schwirian (1978), and modified by Battersby & Hemmings, (1991) as well as Dyess & Parker, (2112). It include 66 items divided into six-dimensions as following:

Dimension	no. of items
Assessment	11
Planning	9
Nursing care	26
Collaboration and teaching	8
Interpersonal relation and communication	8
Evaluation	4

The response of each item was divided into two column, column (A) which describes how often does the nurse performs these activities in his/her current job, which ranging from, column (B) which describes how well does this nurse perform these activities in his/her current job, the response of each item was divided as the following

Column (A) How often does the nurse performs these activities in his/her current job			Column (B) How well does this nurse perform these activities in his/her current job		
Never or seldom	Occasionally	Frequently	Not well	Satisfactorily	Well
1	2	3	1	2	3

The observation was done by the researcher on three times in separated period. So the scoring system was ranged as following:

- Unsatisfied ≤ 60
- Satisfied > 60

Validity of the study's tools

A panel of three nursing administration professionals evaluated the tools for face validity, and any necessary revisions were made, including some sentence paraphrases and Arabic language changes as well as change the response from 4:1 (strongly agree : strongly disagree) to 3:1 from (agree : disagree). The jury was made up of one assistant professor, one professor from Minia University's nursing faculty, and two professor from Assuit University's nursing faculty. It was requested that each member of the expert panel evaluate the tools for content coverage, clarity, phrasing, length, structure, and overall appearance

Reliability of the study's tools

To ensure that the tools were consistent, the scales' reliability was tested. The Cronbach's alpha test was used to determine the degree to which scale items measured the same notion and were correlated with one another. The results showed that the tools in the current study had good internal reliability, and they were distributed as follows:

Table (3): Cronbach's alpha test of the tools

Tools	
Nurses Work environment tool	0.893
Nursing Performance tool	0.900

Pilot Study:

To determine the clarity, completeness, and application of the tools as well as to determine the proper time needed to fill the tools, a pilot study involving 10% of nurses, (10) nurses carried out. The pilot study's findings were added to the final results without alteration

Data Collection Procedure:

- Official letters requesting approval were sent to the faculty dean and the research ethics committee; these letters included a succinct description of the study's objective.
- Following an explanation of the study's purpose, the General Minia Hospital, the General Minia Hospital nursing director, and the department's head nurses provided their written consent
- The two tools were translated into Arabic; then collect the jury approval for the tools were obtained to collect data of the research
- Following an explanation of the goal and procedure for data collection, the tools were given to nurses. After outlining the goals and procedures for data collection. The researcher directly administered and oversaw the use of the tools.
- The oral agreement obtained from the participants
- During the morning shift, the researcher collected the first tool from nurses.
- The second tool filled by the researcher through observation checklist on three observation intervals during morning, evening, and night shifts for each of the studied nurses.
- Nurses had 10 to 12 minutes to complete the first tool.
- The data collection was performed from the nurses as well as observation the nurses in about five months from the beginning of August 2021 to December of 2021.

Administrative design:

- The Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Nursing at Minia University provided formal initial clearance.
- The Minia University Faculty Dean of the Nursing Faculty approved the issuance of an official letter.
- The General Minia Hospital director, the nursing director, and the departmental head nurses all provided their written consent

Ethical Considerations:

- The nurses were made aware that taking part in the study was entirely voluntary and that declining to do so would not have any adverse effects.
- After describing the nature and purpose of this study, head nurses and nurses gave their verbal approval.
- The nurses gave their word that the study's data would not be used again without additional authorization. Confidentiality and anonymity were guaranteed.

Statistical analysis

Using descriptive statistical tests, the obtained data was tabulated, computerized, analyzed, and summarized using SPSS version (25). Frequency and percentage were used to

express qualitative data. Less than 0.05 was regarded as significant, and probability (P-value) is the measure of significance. Less than 0.001 was deemed to be highly significant (**), and the more important the result, the smaller the P-value that was achieved. The mean and SD were used to express numerical data. Frequency and percentage were used to express qualitative data. If there were numerous small predicted values, Fisher's exact tests are alternatives to the Pearson's chi square test.

A statistical tool called correlation can be used to assess the type and significance of a link between two numerical variables. The strength of the association is shown by the value of the co-efficient, whose sign (positive/negative) indicates the relationship's nature: Rho numbers under 0.25 have a weak correlation, those between 0.25 and 0.49 have a fair connection, those between 0.50 and 0.74 have a moderate correlation, and those over 0.74 have a strong correlation

Results

Table (1): Percentage distribution of the nurse’s personal data (no.=95).

Nurse’s personal data	no.	%
Age		
● 22-32yrs.	48	50.5
● 33-43yrs.	38	40.0
● >43yrs.	9	9.5
Mean ± SD	30.2±2.214	
Gender		
● Male	25	26.3
● Female	70	73.7
Years of experience		
● 1-10yrs	28	29.5
● 11-20yrs	67	70.5
Mean ± SD	13.2±4.2324	
Unit		
● NICU	27	28.4
● CCU	18	18.9
● Burn	9	9.5
● Dialysis	33	34.7
● ICU	8	8.4
Marital statuses		
● Single	42	44.2
● Married	45	47.4
● Divorce/Widow	8	8.4
Qualification		
● Secondary school nursing diploma	9	9.5
● Technical institute of nursing	23	24.2
● Bachelor of nursing	42	44.2
● Master in nursing	21	22.1

Table (1) explains that (50.5%) of nurses are in the age 22-32yrs old with mean age 30.2±2.214years, also (73.7%) of them are females, also (70.5%) of them have more than ten years of experiences. In relation to the working area (34.7%) of them working in dialysis unit. Moreover (47.4%) of them are married. Also in relation to level of education (44.2%) of them have Bachelor degree of nursing




Figure (1): Percentage distribution of the nurse’s total work environment (no.=95).

Figure (1) indicates that the high percent of nurses have bad work environment as (92.6%), while (7.4%) of them have fair work environment. Finally none (0%) of them have good work environment.




Figure (2): Percentage distribution of the nurse's total performance on the three different time (no.=95).

Figure (2) indicates that, in the average of the three observation show that the high percent of nurses have unsatisfactory performance as (87.4%), while (12.6%) of them has satisfactory performance.

Table (2): Mean scores of nurse's total performance on the three different time (no.=95).

Nurses performance	How often does the nurse performs these activities in his/her current job	How well does this nurse perform these activities in his/her current job	T-test P-Value
	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	
First observation	109.8211 ± 16.863	80.9895 ± 11.366	13.533 .001**
Second observation	109.9474 ± 16.823	82.6737 ± 11.282	12.783 .001**
Third observation	110.0316 ± 16.798	82.9158 ± 11.469	12.614 .001**
Average of the three observations	109.9333 ± 16.826	82.1930 ± 11.282	13.006 .001**

Table (2) shows that there are statistical significance between number of times of nurse performs these activities in his/her current job and the quality or satisfy degree of nurse perform these activities in his/her current job (P=.001).

Table (3): Correlation between nurses work environment and their performance (no.= 95).

Items		Nurses work environment	Nurses performance
Nurses work environment	r		.321**
	P- value		.002
Nurses performance	r	.321**	
	P- value	.002	

Table (3) illustrates that there is a positive correlation between nurses work environment and their performance (r= .321 & P=.002)

Discussion

Nursing services are considered one of the most important elements of the success of the health care process, and the nursing profession is the backbone of health activity in health care institutions due to its clear and tangible impact on the health services provided, as nursing represents the largest professional group working in health care organizations (Haddad & Toney, 2020).

Nurses play an integral role in the healthcare settings, providing care to the patients and carrying out leadership roles in hospitals, health systems and other health care organizations. Because nurses spend a lot of time with patients, they affect patient care. Research has shown that the nursing work environment is a determining factor. It seems

that when patients have positive experiences of nursing care, nurses also experience a good, healthy work environment and they become more commitment to their work and their profession (Cao & Naruse, 2019).

Regarding personal data of nurses, the present research revealed that approximately fifty-percent of the nurses' staff age group ranged 22-32yrs., about three quarters of them were female as well as had more than ten years of experiences, also the about one third of them were worked in dialysis unit. Moreover about half of them were married. Also in relation to level of education less than half of them had Bachelor degree of nursing.

Regarding total scores of the practice work environment, the present research also, revealed that the

majority of nurses had a bad level of the work environment. The proper rationale for this is that several nurses staff still suffer from the practice workload, lack of available resources, lack of managers and administrator's appreciation and reward, poor communication and decision making, lack of other staff personnel respect and cooperation especially physicians, poor training and improvement programs this all affect their level of work environment satisfaction.

This finding was attributed to **Olds et al. (2017)**, who report that many nurses' opinions disengagement and dissatisfaction with their jobs for reasons that can be attributed to the work environment. Also, **Hegazy et al. (2021)**, stated that there are negative factors such as increased workloads, an insufficient number of nurses, communication problems within teams, insufficient equipment, and a lack of managerial support that result in an unhealthy work environment.

While the finding was aligned with **Brofidi et al. (2018)**, who compared Greek (the nursing practice environments) NPEs in certified (United States) US Magnet and non-Magnet hospitals and has shown that Greek nursing work environments are significantly unfavorable settings.

In addition the current research illustrated that the minority of nurses had a fair level of the work environment. This could relate to nurses' satisfaction and their abilities to engage in the hospital rules and policies, the nurse manager's principles and suggestions, well-accepted relation with other hospital personnel, and their level of practice and competence in delivering patient care and patients outcome too.

This finding is supported by **Liu et al. (2019)**, who reported that improving work environments lead to improve nurses' outcomes and patient outcomes such as job satisfaction, and work engagement decreases missed nursing care and patient safety, less burnout, higher quality of care, and safer care. Also, **Al Sabei et al. (2020)** identified factors, which influence the positive environment, which may reduce turnover intention, and increase work engagement among nurses. These factors include autonomy, environmental control, the relationship between doctors and nurses, and organizational support.

Regarding total scores of the nurses performance, the present research showed that the majority of nurses had unsatisfactory performance. The proper rationale for this is that several nurses staff still suffer from lack of available resources, increase workload, as well as the critical conditions of patients that increase their stress, this all effect on their performance.

This results supported by **Pourteimour et al. (2021)** mentioned that the increase in the work load lead to less satisfaction which reflect on the nurses performance and their quality of care. Also **Al-Ajarmeh et al. (2022)** sated that CCUs increase nurses stress that effect on nurses performance.

Also these research's results illustrated that there was positive correlation between nurses work environment and their performance, from the researchers' point of view the support and health work environment reflect on the nurses satisfaction that effect on their performance. On the opposite hand unhealthy work environment increase nurses dissatisfaction that effect on their performance.

These supported by **Suliman and Aljezawi, (2018)** they mentioned that the work environment which support from their manager and peers, and a manageable workload are more likely to stay in their jobs and provided high quality of care for the patients with more satisfaction. Moreover

Moisoglou et al., (2020) organizational support for workplace improvements and thereby improve nursing retention and enhance the patient care

Conclusion

The current research concluded that the majority of t of nurses had bad work as well as unsatisfactory performance. More there were positive correlation between nurse's work environment and their performance ($p=0.002^{**}$).

Recommendations

- Use effective leadership styles should be used according to different situations
- Provide effective and enough resources as well as facilities to enhance work environment condition.
- Evaluate staff nurses performance frequently.
- Enhance a supportive work environment by the nurse manager as effective way to increase nurses' psychological bonding and enhancing positive work-related outcomes that may, in turn, enhance their performance.
- Conduct effective and continuous training programs for managers as well as the leaders to improve their managerial as well as leadership skills and work effectiveness.
- Provide in-service training program for studied nurses about nurse practice environment and nurses performance.
- Conduct further research about the relation between nurses work environment and its relation to their performance on the multi setting to generalized the results of the study

References

1. Ageel, M., & Shbeer, A. (2022). Assessment of the Critical Care Work Environment of Intensive Care Unit Nurses in Saudi Arabia. *Risk Management and Healthcare Policy*, 2413-2420.
2. Ahmed, W. A. E. M., Soliman, E. S., & Shazly, M. M. (2018). Staff nurses' performance obstacles and quality of work life at Benha University Hospital. *Nurs Health Sci*, 7, 65-71.
3. Al Badi, F. M., Cherian, J., Farouk, S., & Al Nahyan, M. (2023). Work engagement and job performance among nurses in the public healthcare sector in the United Arab Emirates. *Journal of Asia Business Studies*.
4. Al Sabei, D., Labrague, J., Miner Ross, A., Karkada, S., Albashayreh, A., Al Masroori, F., & Al Hashmi, N. (2020). Nursing work environment, turnover intention, job burnout, and quality of care: the moderating role of job satisfaction. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, 52(1), 95-104.
5. Al-Ajarmeh, D. O., Rayan, A. H., Eshah, N. F., & Al-Hamdan, Z. M. (2022). Nurse-nurse collaboration and performance among nurses in intensive care units. *Nursing in Critical Care*, 27(6), 747-755.
6. Al-Ajarmeh, D. O., Rayan, A. H., Eshah, N. F., & Al-Hamdan, Z. M. (2022). Nurse-nurse collaboration and performance among nurses in intensive care units. *Nursing in Critical Care*, 27(6), 747-755.
7. Al-Bsheish, M., Jarrar, M. T., Mustafa, M. B., Zubaidi, F., Ismail, M. A. B., Meri, A., & Dawwed, M. (2022). ICU nurses' safety performance related to respect for safety and management commitment: A cross-sectional study. *Contemporary nurse*, 58(5-6), 446-459.
8. Amaral, A. F. S., Ferreira, P. L., & Lake, E. (2012). Validation of the Practice Environment Scale of the Nursing Work Index (PES-NWI) for the Portuguese nurse

- population. *International Journal of Caring Sciences*, 5(3), 280-288.
9. Aziz Mamdouh, E., Shehata Mohamed, H., & Abdallah Abdelatif, D. (2020). Assessment of Nurses' Performance Regarding the Implementation of Patient Safety Measures in Intensive Care Units. *Egyptian Journal of Health Care*, 11(1), 82-100.
 10. Aziz Mamdouh, E., Shehata Mohamed, H., & Abdallah Abdelatif, D. (2020). Assessment of Nurses' Performance Regarding the Implementation of Patient Safety Measures in Intensive Care Units. *Egyptian Journal of Health Care*, 11(1), 82-100.
 11. Battersby, D., & Hemmings, L. (1991). Clinical performance of university nursing graduates. *The Australian Journal of Advanced Nursing: a Quarterly Publication of the Royal Australian Nursing Federation*, 9(1), 30-34.
 12. Brofidi, K., Vlasiadis, K., & Philalithis, A. (2018). Assessment of the nursing practice environment in Greek Hospitals: a cross-sectional study. *Journal of Research in Nursing*, 23(6), 535-545.
 13. Cao, X., & Naruse, T. (2019). Effect of time pressure on the burnout of home visiting nurses: The moderating role of relational coordination with nursing managers. *Japan Journal of Nursing Science*, 16(2), 221-231.
 14. Cho, H. K., & Kim, B. (2022, February). Effect of nurses' grit on nursing job performance and the double mediating effect of job satisfaction and organizational commitment. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 10, No. 2, p. 396). MDPI.
 15. Dyess, S., & Parker, C. G. (2012). Transition support for the newly licensed nurse: A programme that made a difference. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 20(5), 615-623.
 16. Haddad L. & Toney B. (2020): *Nursing Shortage*, Stat Pearls Publishing, Treasure Island, FL, USA
 17. Hegazy, A. M., Ibrahim, M. M., Shokry, W. A., & El Shrief, H. A. (2021). Work Environment Factors in Nursing Practice. *Menoufia Nursing Journal*, 6(2), 65-73.
 18. Hegazy, A. M., Ibrahim, M. M., Shokry, W. A., & El Shrief, H. A. (2021). Work environment factors in nursing practice. *Menoufia Nursing Journal*, 6(2), 65-73.
 19. Hegazy, A. M., Ibrahim, M. M., Shokry, W. A., & El Shrief, H. A. (2021). Work environment factors in nursing practice. *Menoufia Nursing Journal*, 6(2), 65-73.
 20. Janíková, E., Zeleníková, R., Jarošová, D., Plevová, I., & Mynaříková, E. (2021). Work environment assessment instruments used in nursing. *KONTAKT- Journal of Nursing & Social Sciences related to Health & Illness*, 23(4).
 21. Kuşçu Karatepe, H., & Türkmen, E. (2023). Nurse performance: A path model of clinical leadership, creative team climate and structural empowerment. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 32(3-4), 584-596.
 22. Lake, E. T. (2002). Development of the practice environment scale of the nursing work index. *Research in nursing & health*, 25(3), 176-188.
 23. Liu, X., Mishra, A., Goldstein, S., & Sinha, K. K. (2019). Toward improving factory working conditions in developing countries: An empirical analysis of Bangladesh ready-made garment factories. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*, 21(2), 379-397.
 24. Mohamed, H. A., & Gaballah, S. (2018). Study of the relationship between organizational climate and nurses' performance: a university hospital case. *American Journal of Nursing Research*, 6(4), 191-197.
 25. Mohammed Atta, Z. T., Abood, S. A., & Ali, R. M. N. (2019). Nursing Staff Perception Regarding Factors Influencing Their Performance in General Hospital. *Minia Scientific Nursing Journal*, 6(1), 183-189
 26. Moisoglou, I., Yfantis, A., Galanis, P., Pispirigou, A., Chatzimargaritis, E., Theoxari, A., & Prezerakos, P. (2020). Nurses work environment and patients' quality of care. *International Journal of Caring Sciences*, 13(1), 108-116.
 27. Olds, M., Aiken, H., Cimiotti, P., & Lake, T. (2017). Association of nurse work environment and safety climate on patient mortality: A cross-sectional study. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 74, 155-161.
 28. Olsen, E., Bjaalid, G., & Mikkelsen, A. (2017). Work climate and the mediating role of workplace bullying related to job performance, job satisfaction, and work ability: A study among hospital nurses. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 73(11), 2709-2719.
 29. Pourteimour, S., Yaghmaei, S., & Babamohamadi, H. (2021). The relationship between mental workload and job performance among Iranian nurses providing care to COVID-19 patients: A cross-sectional study. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 29(6), 1723-1732.
 30. Rosa, W. E., Ferrell, B. R., & Wiencek, C. (2020). Increasing critical care nurse engagement of palliative care during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Critical Care Nurse*, 40(6), e28-e36.
 31. Schwirian, P. M. (1978). Evaluating the performance of nurses: a multidimensional approach. *Nursing research*, 27(6), 347-351.
 32. Suliman, M., & Aljezawi, M. (2018). Nurses' work environment: indicators of satisfaction. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 26(5), 525-530