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Abstract

Background: Osteoarthritis is the most common form of arthritis among adults, leading to substantial pain and disability. Aim: Evaluate the effect of quadriiceps muscle strength exercise on the patients with osteoarthritis at Aswan university hospital. Research Design: is Quasi experimental. Subjects & Setting: A convenient sample of (60) newly diagnosed osteoarthritis of the knee joint adult patient recruited from the physical medicine department and rehabilitation department at Aswan university hospital divided into two groups study and control. Tools: two tools, tool one Patient's General Assessment Sheet; and The Knee Osteoarthritis Assessment checklist. Tool two is Algo functional for Knee Osteoarthritis Index (AKOI). Results: 76.7% of study and 60% of the control group were female. This study revealed statistically significant difference between study and control group of Knee Osteoarthritis Assessment post quadriiceps muscle strength exercises regarding complete flexion and complete extension of knee, p≤0.05. There was improvement in mean and S.D of pain in the study group than the control after applying the exercise at the 3rd and 6th week. Improvement in mean and SD between study and control group regarding all items of Maximum distance walked during follow up at 3rd and 6th week and p≤0.05. Conclusion: quadriiceps muscle strength exercise effective in reduce pain and improve movement. Recommendations: Nurses should emphasis to provide a quadriiceps muscle strength exercises on the patients with osteoarthritis.
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Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common musculoskeletal disease in the world, affecting the synovial joints Saad et al., (2015). It is no longer considered to be a normal part of the aging process, but growing older continues to be consistently identified as one risk factor for disease development. Cartilage destruction can actually begin between ages 20 and 30 years, and the majority of adults are affected by age 40. Osteoarthritis (OA) is a common chronic condition resulting in pain, fatigue, functional limitations, increased healthcare utilization and high economic costs to society Litwic et al., (2013).

Pain is the first and predominant symptom, causing loss of movement ability and often stiffness. “Pain” is generally described as a sharp ache, or a burning sensation in the associated muscles and tendons. The pain is intermittent and is worse with joint use and better with rest. Because there is no cure for osteoarthritis, collaborative management are directed towards controlling symptoms, maintaining and improving joint mobility, increasing level of activity, reducing physical disability, handicap, improving health-related quality of life, limiting the progression of joint damage, and educating patients about the nature of the disorder and its management. Treatment modalities of OA include a combination of the following elements; pharmacological management, physical exercise therapy, weight control, joint protection, and surgical management Ahamed (2014).

Several published guidelines currently recommend non-pharmacological interventions as a first line of treatment for knee OA. Nurses play an important role in patient rehabilitation. They should inform patient about proper application of exercise to increase muscle strength, joint flexibility and cardiorespiratory fitness. Patients may require cues as well as verbal instructions, and illustrated pictures, particularly in the early phases of exercise program. It is important that these patients use proper body mechanics and body alignment. Nurses also follow up their patient’s during and after any exercise session, the nurse should assess for any signs of excessive joint strain, presence of pain during activity, lasting more than 1 to 2 hours after exercise, swelling, fatigue, and weakness. If joints hurt or redness or swellings are noticed, then patient is advised to try a little exercise. A warm bath to soothe aching muscles and joints after a workout is important as well as monitoring feelings of patient after exercise (Teixeira, 2012).

Significance of the study

Knee osteoarthritis (OA) is a common chronic and progressive degenerative joint condition that contributes greatly to disability in the general population. Knee osteoarthritis is characterized by pain, stiffness, decreased range of motion, and muscle weakness. These symptoms can limit the ability to climb stairs, rise from a chair, and walk, causing dependency in daily activities. World health organization (WHO) estimated that knee OA account for 83% of total population due to increased longevity, reduced physical activity levels, and increasing prevalence of obesity (WHO, 2013). OA as a musculoskeletal disease it affects approximately 27 million Americans. It is anticipated that OA will become the eighth most important cause of disability in men and the fourth most important cause of disability in women according to the World Health Organization (WHO) Saad et al., (2015). In Egypt, more than five million people have OA Sebbag et al., (2019).

The goal of nursing management is helping patient to develop a pain management protocol that maximizes comfort and functional abilities. Nursing goal also directed to help patient adapt to this chronic illness by teaching methods that
minimize pain and stiffness, increase mobility prevent deformity and preserve normal family and social role interaction. Therefore, the present study had carried out in an attempt to evaluate the effect of exercise protocol of osteoarthritis patient at Aswan University Hospital as indicated by improvement of patient's knowledge and practices related to osteoarthritis, relieve of joint pain and preventing joint stiffness.

Aim of the Study
Evaluate the effect of quadriceps muscle strength exercises on the patients with osteoarthritis at Aswan university hospital.

Research Hypothesis
To fulfill the aim of the present study the following hypothesis were formulated:

1. Patients of the study group whom received quadriceps muscle strength exercises will have improvement in joints function than the control group.

2. Patients of the study group whom received quadriceps muscle strength exercises will have better assessment of The Knee Osteoarthritis Checklist than the control group.

Patients of the study group whom received quadriceps muscle strength exercises will have higher mean and S.D of the Algo functional for Knee Osteoarthritis Index than those of the control group whom received the routine hospital nursing care.

Study procedure
Preparatory phase
Research design: is a quasi-experimental study design.

Setting: This study was carried out at the physical medicine and rehabilitation department at Aswan university Hospital.

Sample:
A purposes sample of sixty adult patients from both sexes were collected conveniently in the study and devided equally to two groups (30 patients each).

Sample equation:
The sample size was selected according to the following formula:

\[ N = \frac{t^2 \times p(1-p)}{m^2} \]

\[ N = \frac{(1.96)^2 \times 0.04(1-0.04)}{0.05^2} \]

\[ N = 60 \text{ patients} \]

Description:
N= required sample size
T = confidence level at 95% (standard value of 1.960)
P = estimated prevalence of patient with knee osteoarthritis at Aswan University Hospital 2018 (0.040)
M = margin of error at 5% (standard value of 0.050).

Inclusion criteria:
Patients of the study met the following criteria: -

A. Mild and moderate osteoarthritis of the unilateral or bilateral knee joint
B. Age from 18 years up to 65 years.
C. Have not been involved in scheduled program of regular exercises or strength training within the last 6 months.
D. Have not been received intra-articular steroid injections within the previous three months.

Study duration:
The study was conducted in a period of six months (from July 2019 to the end of December 2019).

Tools of the study
The tools used in the study were two.

Tool (I): Patient's General Assessment Sheet: this tool was developed and applied by the researcher after a reviewing the related literature it includes three parts:

Part one: include patient’s socio demographic data as, patient's name, age, sex, level of education, marital status, perceived economic status, and occupation.

Part two: include patient general assessment as medical diagnosis, body mass index, gait stability, and using assistive device for ambulation or not.

Part three: The Knee Osteoarthritis Assessment Checklist. This checklist was developed and applied by the researcher after reviewing of literature (Saad, 2015) to assess:

A. Tenderness, edema and shape of both knee joints.
B. Joint stiffness
C. Knee joint effusion.
D. Crepitation.
E. Temperature of the skin around the knee joint.
F. Range of motion of both knee joints was measured by a Goniometer (a device that measures movement of joint in degrees). The normal knee flexion is (0-135°), and the normal knee extension is (0-15°).

Tool (II): The Algo functional for Knee Osteoarthritis Index (AKOI)
This index was adopted from (Lequene, 1998). It was used to evaluate pain or discomfort of the affected joint, maximum distance walked tolerance and activities of daily living. It included three main parts:
Part one: This part was used to evaluate pain or discomfort of the affected joint using the pain section of the Algo functional osteoarthritis index. It contains five questions concerning the severity of pain during various activities, each question scored 0-2. Patient was asked to grade level of pain overall rather than defining site of pain, patients with higher scores indicating more severe pain.

Part two: This part was used to evaluate maximum distance walked scoring system ranged from 0-8. Patients with higher scores indicating more disability and lower scores indicating better function.

Part three: This part was used to assess physical function of the joint during activities of daily living. It contained four questions and scores will summated to produce a global function score, ranging from 0-2. Score 0 indicates inability to perform activities of daily living, whereas score 2 Indicates perform activities of daily living without difficulty.
Validity and Reliability
- Tools of the study were submitted to five experts in the field of Medical-Surgical Nursing and rehabilitation for content validity, completeness, and clarity of the items and the necessary modification were done thereafter.
- The reliability of the tools was tested using the Cronbach alpha coefficient and it was (90%).

Pilot study
- A pilot study was conducted on 10% (6) of patients to examine the feasibility of the study. According to this pilot study, the required modifications were made.

Ethical consideration
- An official permission was obtained from the head of Aswan University Hospital & physical medicine and rehabilitation department to conduct the study.
- Oral consents were obtained from the patients after explaining the aim of the study and assuring them complete confidentiality and that they can withdraw at any time of the study.

Implementation phase
- Patient’s interviews were carried out individually for both groups to collect sociodemographic data and general assessment using the tool I at the outpatient clinic, which took approximately 20-30 minutes for each interview.
- An initial assessment was done by the researcher for both groups in the affected knee joint at the first visit to obtain baseline data used in the comparison using part three tool I and tool II.
- Physical examination of the affected joint was done by the researcher for both groups using part three tool I, which included:
  A. Tenderness, edema and shape of both knee joints.
  B. Joint stiffness
  C. Knee joint effusion according to the following:
     1st degree: Loss of the normal concavity medial to the patella.
     2nd degree: There is sufficient fluid present to float the patella of the under-ling femur.
     3rd degree: A tense effusion preventing full extension of the knee.
  D. Crepitation.
  E. Temperature of the skin around the knee joint.
  F. Range of motion of both knee joints was measured by a Goniometer (a device with two arms revolving around an axis centered on the medial side of the knee joint. It measures movement of joint in degrees) ROM is measured by having the patient move the leg from maximal extension to maximal flexion. The movable arm of the goniometer indicates the angle in degrees. The normal knee flexion is (0-135°), and the normal knee extension is (0-15°).
- The exercise protocol was developed based on a thorough review of related literatures. It was then designed to be introduced to the study groups patients in Arabic.
- The exercise protocol was carried out by the researcher for each patient in the study groups individually. This protocol consisted of stretching exercise, strengthening exercises and range of motion exercise. Clear and simple instructions were offered to each patient, before exercise training protocol. Each patient had an individualized exercise prescription with pictures that contained the goal of the exercise, the type of movement in each exercise, the frequency with which the exercise should be performed and the duration. Each patient was asked to re demonstrate the exercises until the patient gain the skills to perform these exercises, correctly and actively, at home.
- Exercise protocol consists of 3 phases: warm-up, muscle strength period and a cool-down period.

A. Warm-up period:
After selection of patient according to the inclusion criteria, the warm-up period: This was the first step which increase blood flow into the involved muscles and elevate muscular temperature, were performed for 5 to 15 min before engaging in the main exercise. Clear and simple instructions were offered to each patient in the study groups before exercise training protocol. Each patient have an individualized exercise prescription with pictures that contained the goal of the exercise, the type of movement in each exercise, the frequency with which the exercise should be performed and the duration. Each patient was asked to re demonstrate the exercises until the patient gain the skills to perform these exercises, correctly and actively, at home. Performing warm-ups can lower the risk of injuries in the muscles and tendons, as well as reduce heavy loads on the heart, which can occur when high-intensity exercises are suddenly started. Motivation of patient during this period was done through psychological support.

B. Muscle strength training period:
This is the stage following the warm up period. It is improving the physical function, alleviating pain it can be described as a dynamic, repetitive exercise requiring the use of large muscle groups.

The patients were divided in to two groups control group (30) patient and study group (30) patient. The experimental group performed exercises, whereas the control group did not performed any exercise program but they were received the routine nursing care. The schedule of muscle strength training exercises was done by the researcher three times per week for 3 weeks. In each session, muscle strength exercises was performed by the researcher to each patient. Muscle strength exercises consist of 10 sessions. The researcher carried out the physical exercise protocol individually for each patient.

Patients were instructed to perform the following set of exercise every day for 6 weeks. All exercises were performed once a day for the 1st week, and these progressed to twice a day until the 3rd week and three times a day until the 6th week. Patients were instructed to repeat every exercise 10 times

A- Stretching Exercises:
These exercises involved the calf and hamstring muscles. For a standing calf stretch: the patient stands with the heel of the foot on the ground behind the patient, the toes point straight ahead. The patient leans forward until a moderate pull is perceived in the calf musculature. The patient may use his or her arms for support against a wall or furniture as needed. The patients were instructed to hold for 30 seconds.

For hamstrings muscle stretch: the patient lies in a supine
position with the lower extremity maintained as straight as possible, the hip is flexed to 90°, the knee is straightened and the proximal lower leg supported by the hands until a moderate pull is perceived in the posterior thigh and calf and the foot should be dorsiflexed. The patients were instructed to hold for 30 seconds.

**B- Strengthening exercises:**

These exercises involved statis quadriceps sets in knee extension, straight leg raise, partial squats weight-lessened with arm support as needed, step-ups and isometric hip adduction.

**Statis quadriceps sets in knee extension**

The patient lies in a supine position supported on elbows with the knee in full extension. Patient is instructed to contract the quadriceps muscle and push the knee down while maintaining the foot in full dorsiflexion. The patients were instructed to hold each contraction for 6 sec with a 10-seconds rest between repetitions.

**Straight leg rise:**

The patient lies in a supine position. They were instructed to perform a maximum quadriceps contraction prior to the lifting phase of exercise. Then they were instructed to lift the leg up to 10 cm above the plinth. The patients were instructed to hold each contraction for 10 seconds.

**Partial squats weight-lessened with arm**

**Support as needed:** the patient performs a partial squat, keeping the knees centered over the feet, return to standing by contracting the quadriceps and gluteal muscles. The patients were instructed to hold each contraction 30 seconds with hips and knees as straight as possible, repeat for 30 seconds and progress to full body weight without support and additional bouts.

**Step-ups:** the patient stands in front of a low step; places foot of involved leg on step and bring body over foot to stand on the step, use as little push off assistance from the contralateral foot as possible and step down with the contralateral foot. The patients were instructed to repeat for 30 seconds and progress by increasing the height of the step and additional bouts. Alternate legs if both knees are involved.

**Isometric hip adduction:** the patient lies in a supine position. A small pillow was put between the knees. The patients were instructed to perform isometric hip adduction exercise while pressing the pillow between the knees and maintain the adduction with contraction for 5 seconds.

**C-Range of Motion Exercises:**

Range of motion exercises were performed for both legs.

**Knee in mid-flexion to full-extension:**

The patient is positioned supine or supine supported on elbows, Knee is brought to 45° of flexion with the foot sliding on the surface that the patient is lying on. The knee is then fully extended with a strong quadriceps muscle contraction. Patients were asked to repeat two 30-second bouts with 3-second hold at end range.

**Knee in mid-flexion to full-flexion:**

The patient is positioned supine or supine supported on elbows, the knee is brought to full flexion with assistance of the upper extremities or a strap. A gentle challenge to end-range flexion is sustained. Patients were asked to repeat two 30-second bouts with 3-second hold at end range.

**C. Cool-down period:**

Cool down period is the third component of the exercise. In this period after the patient was performing the period of quadriceps muscle strength, the patient asked to take 5 to 8 minutes cool down period. Through this time exertion is decreased to a low intensity cooling down allows the heart rate to return to its resting rate.

- The patients of both groups were followed up weekly in the outpatient Clinic for six weeks to ensure patients compliance to the instructions given using part three tool I and tool II.

**Evaluation phase:**

- Evaluation of pain, range of motion of knee joint (flexion, extension), maximum distance walked and activities of daily living was done by the researcher using part three tool I and tool II at the 3th week and 6th week post exercise protocol to determine the effects of the exercise on the affected knee joint for each patient.

Table 1: Frequency distribution of patient's socio-demographic and medical data for studied patient's (study and control) number (60)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Study group ≤30</th>
<th>Control group=30</th>
<th>p.v</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age by years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-≤25 years</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-≤35 years</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-≤45 years</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 45 years</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>76.7</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read and write</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparatory school</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary school</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unilateral Knee osteoarthritis (OA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Study group =30</th>
<th>Control group=30</th>
<th>p.v</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral Knee Osteoarthritis</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right and left</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoarthritis degree</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gait</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limping</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dragging</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-Square Tests  

Non significant difference $P>0.05$

Table 1: Show that women are more affected and burdened by osteoarthritis of the knee than men. It is Show that 50.0% of the study and control group were aged more than 50 years old. As regards sex it was observed that the majority of the studied sample, 76.7% of study and 60% of the control group were female respectively, 33.3% &23.3% of the study and control group were preparatory educated. Concerning the affected limb, the left leg account for 40%of the study group and 30% in the control group the patient with both legs account for were 33.3% of the study and66.7% of the control group. Regarding gait, more than half 60% of the study group had Limping compared to 36.7% of the control group. There are no statistical differences between study and control group regarding all items of demographic and medical data.

Table 2: Comparison between the study and control groups regarding items of the Knee Osteoarthritis Assessment Checklist between n=60

Chi-Square Tests  

Non significant difference $P>0.05$

Table 2: reveals that 80.0% of the patients in study group have tenderness around knee joint at the pretest compared with 100.0 % in control group which changed into 23.0% in study group after 3week of intervention compared with 100.0% in control group and into 3.3% in study group after 6week of intervention compared with 100.0% in control group with highly significant difference between them $p<0.05$.

It also showed that 83.3% of the patients in study group complain of knee joint effusion at the pretest compared with 96.7 % in control group which changed into 56.7% in study group after 3rd week of intervention compared with 100.0% in control group and into 20.0% in study group after 6th week of intervention compared with 100.0% in control group with highly significant difference between them $p<0.05$.

Regarding to crepitation it was reported that 36.7% in study group in pretest compared with 96.7% in control group which changed into 33.3% in study group after 3week of intervention compared with 100.0% in control group and into 33.3% in study group after 6week of intervention compared with 100.0% in control group, with highly significant difference between them $p<0.05$.  
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Table 3: Comparison between study and control groups regarding all items of Algo functional for Knee Osteoarthritis Index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Follow up</th>
<th>Study group—30</th>
<th>Control group—30</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-test 3rd week 6th weeks</td>
<td>Pre-test 3rd week 6th weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain or discomfort</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During nocturnal bed rest</td>
<td>None or insignificant</td>
<td>3 10.0 15 50.0 28 93.3</td>
<td>3 34.4 0 0.0 0 0.0</td>
<td>.95ns</td>
<td>.001 *</td>
<td>.001 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Only on movement in certain positions</td>
<td>19 63.3 13 43.3 2 6.7</td>
<td>20 66.7 19 63.3 10 33.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>With no movement</td>
<td>8 26.7 2 6.7 0 0.0</td>
<td>7 33.3 11 36.7 20 66.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morning stiffness</td>
<td>1 minute or less</td>
<td>1 3.3 13 43.3 28 93.3</td>
<td>1 3.3 0 0.0 6.0 2 6.7</td>
<td>.543ns</td>
<td>.001 *</td>
<td>.001 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or regressive pain</td>
<td>More than 1 but less than 15 minutes</td>
<td>17 56.7 17 56.7 2 6.7</td>
<td>20 70.0 15 50.0 7 23.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>after arising</td>
<td>15 minutes or more</td>
<td>12 04.4 0 0.0 0 0.0</td>
<td>9 26.7 15 50.0 21 70.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>While ambulating</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2 6.7 16 53.3 28 93.3</td>
<td>3 10.0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Only after ambulating for short distance</td>
<td>12 40.0 13 43.3 2 6.7</td>
<td>5 16.7 4 13.3 5 16.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After initial ambulation and increasing with continued ambulation</td>
<td>14 46.7 1 3.3 0 0.0</td>
<td>22 73.3 24 80.0 21 70.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After initial ambulation, not increasing</td>
<td>2 6.7 0 0.0 0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0 2 6.7 4 13.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>While getting up</td>
<td>With difficulty</td>
<td>7 23.3 11 36.7 28 93.3</td>
<td>4 13.3 2 6.7 2 6.7</td>
<td>.07ns</td>
<td>.001 *</td>
<td>.001 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from sitting without the help of the arms</td>
<td>Without difficulty</td>
<td>23 76.7 19 63.3 5 16.7</td>
<td>26 86.7 28 93.3 28 93.3</td>
<td>.23ns</td>
<td>.005 *</td>
<td>.001 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total pain score</td>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.83±1.59</td>
<td>2.26±1.52 3.6±0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P1 = pretest  p.2= after 3 wks.  p.3= after 6 wks.  Chi-Square Tests and one way a nova test  Non significant difference P>0.05   significant difference P≤0.05  highly significant p<0.01

Table 3: Reveals that highly significant difference between study and control group during follow in all items of pain and there was improvement in mean and SD for total means regarding pain. In the 3rd week Mean ±SD was 2.26±1.52 in the study group and it was 5.73±1.201 in the control group

Table 4: Comparison between study and control groups regarding to the maximum distance walked after applying the quadriceps muscle strength exercise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Study group 3rd week 6th weeks</th>
<th>Control group 3rd week 6th weeks</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlimited</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>4 13.3</td>
<td>25 83.3</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 1Km, but Limited</td>
<td>4 13.3</td>
<td>17 56.7</td>
<td>4 13.3</td>
<td>3 10.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>About a km (in about 15 min)</td>
<td>10 33.3</td>
<td>5 16.7</td>
<td>1 3.3</td>
<td>6 20.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From 500-900m (in about 8-15 min)</td>
<td>4 13.3</td>
<td>2 6.7</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>10 33.3</td>
<td>2 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From 300-500m</td>
<td>6 20.0</td>
<td>2 6.7</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>5 16.7</td>
<td>11 36.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 100m</td>
<td>1 3.3</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>4 13.3</td>
<td>15 50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With one walking stick or crutch</td>
<td>3 10.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>2 6.7</td>
<td>2 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With two walking sticks or crutches</td>
<td>2 6.7</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Maximum distance walked (maywalk with pain)</td>
<td>3.23±1.79 4 1.36±1.03</td>
<td>20±.48 3.32±1.38 4.56±.72 4.86±1.00 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P1 = pretest  p.2= after 3 wks  p.3= after 6 wks  Chi-Square Tests and one way anova test  Non significant difference P>0.05   significant difference P≤0.05  highly significant p<0.01

Table 4: This table shows that no statistically significant difference between study and control group at the pre-test and statistically significance difference between study and control group regarding all items of Maximum distance walked during follow up after 6th week and there were improvement in mean and SD for total means regarding Maximum distance walked 20±.48, p≤0.05.
Conclusion:

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common musculoskeletal condition (Rathwa et al., 2019). Arthritis in patients' knees affect gait because of three factors: pain, stiffness, and weakness. The same goes for arthritis in the feet. In addition, weakness in the muscles around the knee often occur in patients with arthritis, Fawole et al., (2020).

The aim of the present study was to determine effect of quadriceps muscle strength exercise on the patients with osteoarthritis at Aswan university hospital.

In my opinion quadriceps muscle strength exercise succeeded to improve joint mobility, muscle strength, distance walked level of activity and decrease joint stiffness and the level of pain. This reflects the desirable effect of exercise training on knee OA patients. It emphasized that exercise is important to enhance or maintains muscle strength, physical fitness, relieve the symptoms of knee OA and improve overall health.

Discussion:

Regarding the present and past history of the studied group: Unilateral Knee osteoarthritis (OA) affect left leg and Bilateral Knee Osteoarthritis affect both right and left in study and control group, Messier et al., (2016) found similar functional ability and perceived functional ability between unilateral and bilateral knee OA groups. In contrast, Aljehani et al., (2019) indicated that knee flexion during stance, and the external knee flexion moment were different between unilateral and bilateral knee OA. Unilateral OA patients presented with asymmetric gait whereas symmetry characterized bilateral OA gait.

Studies providing insight into these questions have varied conclusions; one suggesting that unilateral and bilateral knee OA patients were functionally similar Messier et al., (2016) while another reporting that knee mechanics differs between the groups.

Regarding knee osteoarthritis assessment: The exciting study found that; there was statically significance difference between study and control group of Knee Osteoarthritis Assessment post application of quadriceps muscle strength exercises regarding reducing of pain, complete flexion and improving walking by maximum distance walked.

This agreed with Hauser & Woldin, (2018) who documented that; the affected joint degenerates pain and restriction of movement often occur. Inflammation can also occur sometimes resulting in edema of the joint with OA. Treatment focuses on decreasing pain and improving movement.

Also, this result match with Nazari et al., (2019) who concluded that comparing to control had a statistically beneficial effect on range of motion, function and knee strength.

Our results matched with Nahas, (2019) who reported that; exercise, as part of a comprehensive arthritis treatment plan, can improve joint mobility, muscle strength, and overall physical conditioning, and help patient maintain a healthy weight. In the present study, the reduction in pain and disability in the experimental group may be attributed to increased quadriceps muscle strength and thereby improved stability, which leads to reduction of pain and disability. Further, Aydoğdu et al., (2017) concluded in their study that exercise and physical agents can reduce pain and improve function and health status in patients with knee OA. Since pain and disability are interdependent, a reduction in one will cause a reduction in the other.

Regarding activities of daily living, this study showed that no statically significant difference between study and control pre-test and statically significance difference between study and control group regarding all items of Activities of daily living during follow up there were improvement in mean and SD for total means regarding Total score of daily activity. p≤.05

![Fig.1: Means of daily activity between study and control group n=60](Image)

This Figure shows that no statically significant difference between study and control at pre-test and statically significance difference between study and control group regarding all items of Activities of daily living during follow up there were improvement in mean and SD for total means regarding Total score of daily activity. p≤.05
Our findings match with those obtained in previous studies that have demonstrated the benefits of isometric exercise in strength training by Kilinc et al., (2019) who compared the effect of isokinetic versus isometric exercise in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. They reported that both groups showed significant improvement in muscle strength at the end of the trial. However, the isokinetic exercise group showed slightly greater improvement compared with the isometric exercise group. Similarly, Onigbinde et al., (2017) reported a significant increase in muscle strength after 8 weeks of isometric quadriceps exercise in patients with knee OA.

Regarding the correlation between pain, maximum distance and daily activity the present study illustrated that negative correlation between activity of daily living and maximum distance in study groups.

The results of the present study agreed with Heredia-Rizo et al., (2019) who showed that the 5week period of intervention brought about a significant reduction in knee pain and improvement in function in the experimental group at the 5th week in addition to the significant reduction in pain and improvement in function in the experimental group may be attributed to improved quadriceps strength and therefore increase stability of the knee joint.

The findings are consistent with the findings of previous investigation of Çolak et al., (2017) who have reported that exercise can reduce pain and therefore the functional abilities of OA patients. The Fitness Arthritis and Seniors Trial by Runhaar et al., (2019) reported a modest 8% to 10% improvement in pain and functioning scores as a result of 18 months of aerobic or resistance exercise among their sample of knee OA patients.

Further, Gilbert et al., (2018) found the same positive effects of exercise programs on pain and function. It is well documented in the literature that impaired quadriceps strength has been found to be the greatest single predictor of lower limb functional limitation. Further study done by Mazloum et al., (2018) concluded that quadriceps strengthening has beneficial effect on pain and function in patients with OA knee. The study done by Thakur et al., (2017) reported that subjects having stronger quadriceps strength had less knee pain and better physical function as compared with those with the least strength.

The researcher opinion that strong muscles stabilize the joints in a proper alignment, attenuate shocks that are transmitted to the joints and minimize the effect of impact by spreading the forces out over a greater area so it may be hypothesized that improvement in muscle strength is one of the main causes of reduced pain and disability.

In my opinion rehabilitating patients through exercise programs reduce the inflammatory process, decrease pain, prevent further joint damage, maintain and restore decreased muscle dysfunction.

It is recommended to see which one has more influence on the increase in muscle strength in a future study. Finally, the 6-week of isometric quadriceps exercise program for patients with knee OA showed beneficial effects on quadriceps muscle strength, pain, and improving functional ability.

Conclusion: Quadriceps muscle strength exercise effective in improvement condition of knee. reduce pain and improve movement.

**Recommendations:** Nurses should emphasis to provide a quadriceps muscle strength exercises on the patients with osteoarthritis.
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